

Faltering Korea-China Relations with the Emergence of the G2 Era

Jung-Nam Lee Korea University

October 2012

Knowledge-Net for a Better World

The East Asia Institute(EAI) is a nonprofit and independent research organization in Korea, founded in May 2002.

The EAI strives to transform East Asia into a society of nations based on liberal democracy, market economy, open society, and peace.

The EAI takes no institutional position on policy issues and has no affiliation with the Korean government.

All statements of fact and expressions of opinion contained in its publications are the sole responsibility of the author or authors.

EAI is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2012 EAI

This electronic publication of EAI intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete.

Copies may not be duplicated for commercial purposes.

Unauthorized posting of EAI documents to a non-EAI website is prohibited.

EAI documents are protected under copyright law.

The East Asia Institute

909 Sampoong B/D, Eulji-ro 158 Jung-gu, Seoul 100-786 Republic of Korea Tel 82 2 2277 1683 Fax 82 2 2277 1684



Faltering Korea-China Relations with the Emergence of the G2 Era

Jung-Nam Lee Korea University

October 2012

I. Introduction

Since diplomatic relations were established between Korea and China in 1992, the relationship between the two countries has developed rapidly, according to various numerical statistics. In terms of economic exchanges, the countries' trade volume—which totaled no more than 6.4 billion USD in 1992—increased to 240 billion USD in 2011, multiplying 37 times over and exceeding Korea's aggregate trade volume with the U.S. and Japan. Last year, the volume of direct investment flowing from Korea to China amounted to 50 billion USD, with the number of Korean firms in China reaching 50,000. China has become Korea's top economic partner, and according to the 2011 figures, Korea is now China's third-largest economic partner after Japan and the United States (U.S.), although the figures exclude Hong Kong (Cheong 2012). The number of people travelling between the two countries has also increased apace: from 130,000 people in 1992 to 6,410,000 people in 2011, recording a remarkable 49-fold growth. A total of 130,000 people currently participate in student exchange programs (64,000 Chinese students in Korea and 68,000 Korean students in China) and direct flights run between the two countries 837 times a week (Kang 2012, 5).

The nature of Korea-China relations has also evolved since the "the good neighbor" days of "friendly cooperative relations" at the time when diplomatic relations were first established in 1992; in 1998, the countries announced they would pursue "cooperative partner relations in the 21st century," and in 2003, a new framework of "comprehensive cooperative partner relations" was inaugurated. More recently, as a result of the Korea-China summits in 2008, the countries' diplomatic relationship has been enhanced even



further, to the level of "strategic cooperation relations", the highest grade of diplomatic ties recognized by China since 1978 (Lee 2009, 103-108).

However, although Korea–China relations have developed and strengthened without any major hindrances for years—and the two countries have enjoyed a sort of diplomatic honeymoon—conflicts rose to the surface around the dawn of the 21st century. The Garlic Dispute in 1999-2000 put an end to the special honeymoon period for the two countries and relations were normalized based on mutual interests (Chung 2011, 262-286). Thereafter, many diverse conflicts and disputes rose to the surface: the dispute over the history of Goguryeo Kingdom during 2003–2004; the dispute over the Registration of Danoje Ceremony in Gangreung as an intangible cultural heritage site of UNESCO; the negative statement of the speaker of the Chinese Foreign Affairs Department on the Korea–China Alliance in 2008; the conflicts between South Korea's strong stance against North Korea and China's comprehensive policy for North Korea; the conflict related to the sinking of the Cheonan warship, and bombing on Yeonpyeong Island in 2010;the murder of a Korean Maritime police officer by Chinese fishermen, the delicate conflict related to the funeral process of Kim Jeong-il at the end of 2011; and the issues concerning North Korean expatriates in 2012.

These conflicts have soured the public perception of state relations in both Korea and China and have stood as obstacles to the further development of a healthy strategic partnership between the countries. The results of public opinion polls readily corroborate this claim. As seen in Table 1, people in both countries appear to be recognizing that the relationship between the two countries is not improving, but rather deteriorating (see Table 1).

<Table 1> Korean and Chinese public evaluation of Korea-China relations

		Ra	ather goo	od		Rather bad				
	07	08	09	10	10-07	07	08	09	10	10-07
Korea	65.5	36.4	58.9	50.8	-14.2	34.5	59.8	39.7	45.8	6.1
China	87.6	72.4	67.4	56.0	-32.6	6.6	16.4	21.8	38.9	+22.2

^{*} Korea Northeast Asian History Foundation, Public Opinion Poll on Korea-China Relations (2007-2010)

It is worth noting that early on, the conflicts between Korea and China were mostly economic and cultural in nature, but from 2008, strategic concerns such as the Korea–U.S. alliance, relations with North Korea, and the North Korean nuclear weapons program began to appear as major sources of diplomatic disagreement. In light of these pressing issues, the two countries upgraded their diplomatic relationship to the level of a strategic cooperation partnership to solve strategic issues with mutual cooperation; ironically, conflicts over



strategic issues have become the core subjects of dispute between the both countries.

In fact, the two countries of Korea and China have not managed to create a substantial cooperative relationship in the political and diplomatic sense, in spite of their conspicuously successful economic partnership. Although more than 40 summit meetings have been held and military exchanges have been made, summits consisting of reciprocal visits have been made no more than seven times and military exchanges have been made only superficially. The reason why cooperation between the two countries has been weak (in terms of the diplomatic and security sectors) is mainly owing to the presence of a perceptual gap between China's recognition that Korea still stresses the importance of its relationship with the U.S. concerning its security issues, and Korea's recognition that China is overly sensitive about the public debate pertaining to the North Korea problem. This gap has been too large for a diplomatic quick fix and has impeded the development of better relations between the two countries (Kang 2012, 5).

With the rapid economic rise of China, the concept of the G2 ("The Group of 2"- the United States and China) has gained international currency, however informally. G2 is the term used to refer to the group of the strongest two countries in the world, U.S. and China, which tackles together political and economic issues in the international community (Bergsten 2008, 57-69; Kissinger 2009; Brzezinski 2009). What may be called the emerging "G2 era," marked by the renewed and heightened interest of the United States in East Asia, solidly defines the China-U.S. relationship as a key variable and determinant of Korea-China relations. With the onset of the G2 era, the gap in recognition between the Koreans and the Chinese has been reinforced, worsening the relationship between the two nations. As strategic competition has exacerbated between China and the Unites States, the latter nation has tried to contain China by reinforcing the Korea-U.S. relationship. Meanwhile, China has tried to reinforce its relationship with North Korea to maintain security and the status quo on the Korean Peninsula and to secure a strategic buffer zone against the United States. Therefore, whenever strategic issues arise (such as nuclear threats), Korea is suspicious that China takes a loose position to secure the benefit of a buffer zone by maintaining the current North Korea regime, and China, in turn, is suspicious that Korea-U.S. relations plays a certain role in containing China as the United States returns to East Asia.

Therefore, this study claims that, with the emergence of the G2 era, mounting tensions between Korea and China have hampered the practical progress of these two countries' "strategic cooperation partnership". For this purpose, I look into the popular perception of current strategic threats and diplomatic challenges in the two countries, analyzing the results of Korean and Chinese public opinion polls. Next, this study observes how such public



perceptions affect the recognition of Korea-China relations. In conclusion, this study discusses how, and along what lines, Korean and Chinese perceptions should change to promote the strategic relationship between Korea and China in the G2 era.

II. Theoretical Discussion and Research Methods

1. Public Opinion Polls and the Study of Foreign Policy

According to constructivist theory, the most important factors that comprise social structure are not physical matters, but perceptual ones. Further, the identity of the actors and the benefits they derive in that structure are not given naturally or from the outside, but are developed through shared concepts in the society (Wendt 1999, 1). From this viewpoint, the actors and structures are social entities and they are developed and reproduced through the process of mutual interactions (Chung 2000, 20). Viewed from this constructivist approach, the foreign policy of a country is not determined externally, but is composed of shared concepts in the society. Moreover, through the interactions between international society and domestic opinions, foreign policy is developed and reproduced based on socially shared concepts. From this standpoint, then, it is very important to analyze the direction and characteristics of a given country's foreign policy by way of public opinion polls.

For foreign policy issues, in which policymakers have extensive discretionary power while the general population lacks interest and professional knowledge, the role of public opinion has been a key issue. In a democracy, in which elections serve as a means for the public to control their choice of government, no policymakers can neglect public opinion. Based on this, recent studies on the subject have generally recognized that public opinion is an important factor in deciding foreign policy. For example, Richard Sobel showed through case studies that although public opinion has only a limited influence on foreign policymaking, it can constrain the scope of options and make sure policies do not stray beyond certain boundaries (Sobel 2001).

With this perspective in mind, there is little doubt that in a democracy system such as that of South Korea, public opinions functions as an effecting factor in the crafting of foreign policies. However, in a highly centralized authoritarian system such as China, where civil society is not well developed and civil rights are not sufficiently protected so that the public may articulate their opinions actively, there has been prevalent skepticism about



whether public opinion affects the direction of foreign policy. However, as China has achieved greater economic growth and civil society has developed gradually, the pool of actors involved in making foreign policy has continued to expand. One Chinese scholar indicates that China's foreign policy efforts face considerable challenges due to the complexities of the social subjects that create them. He asserts that, regarding diplomatic issues, because the opinions of the government, the elite, and the public all hold significant sway, China confronts a challenge to please and appease all three (Zhu 2012).

In fact, for a long time since the foundation of the People's Republic of China, public opinions and policies have corresponded well in the country. As reform and open-door policies have been introduced, the Chinese people's views on international issues and diplomatic issues have similarly diversified due to developments in internet technology and the rapid development of mass media. Therefore, the influence of public opinion on foreign policy decisions has increased in parallel. The Chinese government ultimately directs its efforts to draft policies that reflect the diverse opinions of the public where important diplomatic policies are concerned (Zhu 2008, 45).

The Chinese scholar Wang Wen draws upon case studies to illustrate how mass media, the reports of think tanks, and the contributions of 'netizens' (internet users) have influenced public opinion and critically effected changes in China's policies toward the United States. In early 2010, the United States' sale of arms to Taiwan and President Obama's meeting with the Dalai Lama captured the attention of the Chinese public, fanning a strong wave of anti-American sentiment. With this kind of public support, the Chinese government reinforced its position and interrupted China-U.S. military exchanges. The Chinese government stressed a hard line policy against the United States, and some members of the mass media commented that China-U.S. relations were frozen. However, on March 12, 2010, when the U.S. Deputy Secretary of State James Steinberg expressed a soft position about the conflicts between China and the United States since 2010 through his interview with Global Times (HuanqiuShibao) by email, Chinese public opinions began to swing in favor of the United States. In addition, on March 30, 2010, the Chinese government announced its decision to participate in the Nuclear Security Summit in the United States. The United States, in response, decided to postpone announcing its allegation that China had been manipulating foreign exchange rates. Thereafter, the conflicts between China and the United States diminished considerably. Looking into this case of the effect of public opinion on China's policies toward the United States, the chain of influence flows roughly in this way: a U.S. measure toward China → the Chinese public's response → the Chinese government's response \rightarrow the worsening of China-U.S. relations \rightarrow diplomatic cooperation between the two countries → softening of the U.S. government's policies → favorable response of the



Chinese public → restoration of China–U.S. relations (Wang 2010, 12-13).

In China, public opinion not only influences the foreign policies pursued by the government, but sometimes leads foreign policy in more extreme directions, particularly when nationalism is aroused (Lampton 2001, 14-16). When the tides of public opinion in China opposed Japan's nomination as a permanent member of the UN Security Council in 2005, as evidenced by demonstrations and petition movements, the Chinese government was forced to adopt a more rigid position to show its clear stance on the issue (Lai 2011, 143). China did not officially oppose Japan's membership on the UN Security Council initially, out of consideration for bilateral relations between the countries. However, as public opposition fiercely continued, the Chinese government backtracked and announced its official rejection of Japan's bid for entry. In detail, on April 12, 2005 during his state visit to India, Premier Wen Jiabao said that "Japan could play a bigger role in international affairs only if it respected history, assumed responsibility for it, and regained the trust of the people of Asia and the world." (Ji 2010)

Public opinions have been shown to be influential and cannot be ignored when plotting the course of China's foreign policies. Of course, public opinion has a more limited influence when it comes to foreign policies related to the core interests of the nation. Nevertheless, it cannot be denied that public opinions function as affecting factors in the decision-making chain that authors foreign policies. By analyzing public opinion survey data from the two countries about the public's perception of the G2 structure in East Asia and the Korea–China relationship, I argue that the emergence of the G2 era functions as a hindrance in establishing the strategic cooperation partnership between Korea and China.

2. Methods and Scope of the Research

The data used in this study is mostly derived from the results of the public opinion surveys conducted using random samplings of the Korean and Chinese populations by the Asiatic Research Institute (ARI) of Korea University and the East Asia Institute (EAI) in August and September of 2011. In addition to this main data, other available survey data about Korea–China relations gathered before 2011 was also used as supplementary data. In the case of Korea, the 2011 surveys were distributed nationwide and targeted a sample population of 1,022 people (sampling error 95 percent and confidence level ±3.1 percent), collected randomly with respect to gender, age and geographic area according to the registered population status as of June 2011, with a parent population of male and female respondents aged 19 and over. The surveys were conducted as interviews for twenty days, from August 19 until September



7, 2011. In the case of China, ten major cities—Beijing, Shanghai, Chengdu, Shenyang, Xian, Guangzhou, Wuhan, Chongging, Tianjin and Nanjing, which comprise 21.3 percent of the total Chinese population—were chosen to provide the parent population and 1,029 samples were selected randomly in proportion to the population of each city. The surveys were conducted by telephone (fixed-line and wireless), using the random digit dialing (RDD) method with the aid of the CATI program for fifteen days from August 26 to September 9, 2011.

This article analyzes the survey results according to two categories. First, this study aims to identify how Korean and Chinese people perceive the formation of the G2 system in East Asia and how they perceive strategic threats to their national benefits due to the shift to the G2 system. Second, this study aims to identify how the people's perception of strategic threats under the G2 system is actually reflected in Korea–China relations and how this perception works as an impediment in current Korea–China relations.

In order to identify why the shift to the G2 system has had important influences on the development of the strategic cooperation partnership between Korea and China, the survey was designed specifically to first diagnose whether or not the Koreans and the Chinese public have identified the emergence of a so-called G2 system in East Asia. For this purpose, the surveys contained detailed questions: "Do you think the G2 system has arrived in East Asia?"; "Has the influence of China and the United States increased in Asia for the last 10 years?"; "What is your opinion of the current international order?"; and "Do you believe China will become a global leader superseding the United States?" By analyzing respondents' answers to these questions, it became apparent that people in Korea and China are aware that the new G2 regime has emerged in reality in East Asia.

From the survey, an analysis was then carried out on what the Korean and the Chinese people consider to be strategic threats under the G2 regime. To achieve this, the following two questions were asked and the responses analyzed: "Are China's neighboring countries and their organizations threatening the security of China?"; and "What do you believe are the possible threats to the interests of Korea in the next 10 years?" If the Chinese respondents identified the United States as a major country that threatens the security and interests of China and the Korean respondents recognized China as a major country that threatens the security and interests of Korea, then we could postulate that the emergence of the G2 system acts as an important obstacle to the development of the strategic cooperation partnership between Korea and China because the G2 system will reinforce the South Korea–U.S. alliance and North Korea–China alliance.

Second, in order to identify precisely how the G2 system will influence Korea–China relations, the survey posed questions on the following concerns: China's position about the unification of the Korean Peninsula; Chinese people's recognition about the intervention of China



and the United States in the case of a crisis in North Korea; China's behavior in case of military conflict between South and North Korea; and Reinforced relations between North Korea and China. An analysis of the answers reveals that Korean people recognize that China opposes the unification of the Korean Peninsula and hopes to preserve the maintenance of the current system by reinforcing its ties with North Korea, with a view to securing a favorable position vis-àvis the United States in East Asia as a member of the G2 system.

The survey results were then analyzed regarding questions concerning the Chinese people's perceptions of Koreans' attitudes about China and the United States and concerning popular Chinese attitudes about the relationship between North Korea and China and the relationship between Korea and the United States. The survey questions were phrased as follows: "Which of the two countries, the United States or China, do you think Korea is nearer?"; "In case the United States and China enter into a conflict, which country will you support?" Opinions were also gathered about Chinese people's perceptions of Korea–U.S. relations and North Korea–China relations and attitudes about the intervention of China and the United States in case of crisis in North Korea. Through the process of analysis, it would seem that Chinese people are aware that the strengthening of Korea's alliance with the United States, a competitor of China, threatens the security and interests of China.

It was determined that if the results revealed that Koreans recognize that China opposes the unification of the Korean Peninsula by reinforcing its relationship with North Korea and tries to preserve the current status in Korea and that Chinese people are aware that Korea checks China by reinforcing its relationship with the United States and threatens the interest of China, then it can be said that the competitive relationship between China and the United States is reflected in Korea–China relations. This means that the emergence of the G2 system in East Asia serves to obstruct the practical progress pursued in strategic cooperation partnership relations between Korea and China.

III. The Emergence of the G2 Era and Awareness of the Korea-China Security Issues

1. The Rise of China and Popular Recognition of Changes in the International Order

Corresponding to China's economic rapid rise, academics have paid a great deal of atten-



tion to changes in the power rankings of China and the United States since the early 1990s. While most Western scholars claim that the international order will continue to be led by the United States in the 21st century, (Ikenberry 2008; Nye Jr. 2011) some posit that American power will decline and the Chinese civilization will rise again (Chosun Ilbo February/13/2012). In spite of these different opinions, few people seem to object to the formulation that China and the United States are regarded as the G2. While it has been stressed in China that there is no doubt that the United States is the only superpower in the world, the G2 concept has been viewed as a negative concept by most people (Li and Fan 2008, 30-36; Global Times December/16/2008; Weidong 2009, 8; 2009, 38-39). However, Hu Jintao and Xi Jinping claim that, although China is a developing country and there are differences in the power statuses of China and the United States, as the two countries have the most important bilateral relationship in the world, not only peace in the Asia-Pacific region but global peace cannot be guaranteed without the cooperation of the two countries (Jungang Ilbo February/15/2012; Yinhong 2010, 11-12). After the global financial crisis, there seem to be no particular objections in Korean academic circles to the assertion that a G2 system has emerged in the East Asia region.

How does the general public in Korea and China evaluate the emergence of the G2 structure? In order to identify if people in the two countries accept the fact that the G2 system has emerged in East Asia in reality, the three questions in the following paragraph were included and the responses were analyzed.

Firstly, most people in Korea and China believe that the United States no longer leads the current international order. Although there are a few differences in the survey responses in terms of the relationship between the two countries, a low proportion of the people, 50 percent or less, agree that the United States leads the world order (Koreans 45.4 percent, Chinese 29.4 percent). On the other hand, Chinese people gave a comparatively high rate of affirmative answers, 49.9 percent compared to the Koreans' 16.5 percent, when asked about the formation of a multi-polar system led by many countries; 33.6 percent of Korean respondents answered that China and the United States lead together (compared to only 13.7 percent of the Chinese) and only 4.3 percent believe that China leads unilaterally (compared to 4.4 percent of the Chinese). Thus, Koreans appear to perceive the emergence of a G2 system more readily than do Chinese. However, for the question asking whether the current international order will no longer be led by the United States, 55.6 percent of Koreans and 69.6 percent of Chinese respondents agreed, indicating that people in both countries share the opinion that the existing international order, led by the United States as the only superpower, has been changed (see Table 2).1



<Table 2> What is your opinion on the current international order?

		U.S. Chin		Multiple countries, not one or two countries, lead together	US and China lead together	Don't know/ No response
China	11	29.4	4.4	49.9	13.7	2.6
Korea	11	45.4	4.3	16.5	33.6	0.2

^{*} October 2011, Asiatic Research Institute: ARI & East Asian Institute: EAI 2011 October, Survey on National Consciousness of Korea and China (ARI and EAI, 2011)

In addition, because people in both countries recognize that China will become a world leader superseding the United States in the near future, they are tacitly accepting the emergence of the competitive G2 system as a problem in the near future. As shown in Table 3, it was revealed that 60.7percent of the Chinese and 75.6percent of the Koreans responded that China will become a global leader superseding the United States.

<Table 3> Do you believe China will lead the world in the near future, surpassing the United States?

		Very affirmative	Somewhat affirmative	Somewhat negative	Totally negative	Don't know/ No response
C1 :	11	24.4	36.3	28.2	9.9	1.2
China	10*2	32.2	39.6	18.3	8.8	1.1
Korea		16.6	59.0	20.7	3.5	0.1

^{*}A survey conducted by the National Research Council for Economics, Humanities and Social Sciences (NRCS) and EAI in July, 2010. *Survey on How to Reinforce the Public Diplomacy toward China* (Seoul: EAI and NRCS, 2010).

The Korean and Chinese people surveyed recognize that China will exert more influence in Asia. People in both countries appear to agree that China has increased its influence more than the United States over the last 10 years (82.5 percent of Koreans and 91.0 percent of Chinese), while 39.2 percent of Koreans and 58.5 percent of Chinese people believed that the United States has expanded its influence more during the same period. On the other hand, respondents of both nationalities did not agree that China's influence in Asia has been reduced (the rate of agreement was only 3.1 percent among the Koreans and 2.1 percent among the Chinese), while they showed comparatively higher agreement on the question whether influence of the United States has decreased in Asia (17.3 percent of the Kore-



ans, 25.9 percent of the Chinese). This reveals that people in both countries perceive that China's influence has grown more rapidly than that of the United States in the Asian region and that the United State's influence is relatively decreasing (see Table 4). According to the survey results, it can be said that people in both countries are showing recognition that the G2 system is, in fact, emerging in the East Asian region.

<Table 4> Do you believe the influence of the United States and China has increased in Asia over the last ten years, or do you believe it has decreased?

			Increased	Almost no changes	Decreased	Don't know/ No response
	Cl.:	11	58.5	13.6 25.9		1.9
	China	08*	44.6	23.9	28.3	3.1
U.S.	Korea	11	39.2	43.3	17.3	0.2
		0.8	41.9	32.7	24.0	1.4
Cl. :	China	11	91.0	6.0	2.1	0.9
China	Korea	11	82.5	14.3	3.1	0.1

^{*} A 2008 Chicago Council on Global Affairs (CCGA)-EAI Joint Survey. *Soft Power in East Asia* (EAI and CCGA, 2008).

In conclusion, if the survey results are indeed a representative sampling of public opinion, the majority of people in Korea and China believe that the conventional international order characterized by the uni-polar dominance of the United States has been changed to a multi-polar system or a G2 system through structural shifts. Although they do not agree absolutely on the current formation of a G2 structure, they foresee that China and the United States will emerge as competitive members of a G2 system. Moreover, if the scope is limited to the Asian region, people in both countries may be said to have accepted the fact that the era of the G2 system has already emerged.

2. The Recognition of the Koreans and the Chinese on the Security Issues

(1) The Recognition of the Chinese on the Security Issues

Therefore, with the inauguration of the G2 era in Asia (particularly in East Asia), what do the people of South Korea and China regard as strategic threats to their countries? In ana-



lyzing answers to this question, the variables of influence that the emergence of the G2 era could exert on South Korea–China relations will be examined. Specifically, to determine the factors of strategic threats recognized by China, this study canvassed opinions on such themes as: "Are nations or organizations in the vicinity, such as the United States and Japan, menacing Chinese security?"; "Factors that could threaten the national interest of China in ten years"; and "Chinese perceptions of South Korea–United States relations, United States–Japan relations and North Korea–China relations."

First, most of the Chinese people surveyed (67.2 percent) seemed to regard Japan as the nation that will exert the greatest negative influence on Chinese security, followed by the United States (57.8 percent), Vietnam (43.5 percent), India (43 percent), South Korea (23.3 percent), Russia (16.6 percent) and North Korea (16 percent), in that order. While citing Japan and the United States as the nations most likely to exert negative influences on Chinese security, the respondents surveyed indicated that Vietnam (which has an outstanding conflict with China over the Spratly Islands) and India are less likely to exert negative influence. The Chinese also seem to have fewer negative attitudes towards South Korea, Russia and North Korea (see Table5).

<Table 5> What influence do you believe the following countries have on China's security?

			Some-	No	Some-	Vanue n a c	Don't
		affirma-	what af-		what neg-	Very neg-	know/No
		tive	firmative	influence	ative	ative	response
U.S.	11	1.2	22.4	16.2	41.9	15.9	2.3
Japan	11	1.0	9.3	20.4	46.4	20.8	2.1
Russia	11	4.7	39.7	36.1	14.5	2.1	3.0
Korea	11	1.7	24.8	48.0	20.2	3.1	2.1
North	11	6.5	32.7	42.3	13.2	2.8	2.5
Korea	11	0.3	32.7	42.3	13.2	2.0	2.3
India	11	1.1	17.2	35.4	34.4	8.6	3.3
Vietnam	11	0.8	15.0	38.0	35.4	8.1	2.8

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

While the Chinese believe that U.S.–Japan relations (74.2 percent) and South Korea–U.S. relations (54.1 percent) are exerting a negative influence on their nation, 63.3 percent of the Chinese respondents see North Korea–China relations as exerting favorable influences on China. Interestingly, although the majority of the Chinese believe that South Korea and North Korea alone will not exert negative impacts on Chinese security, they



forecast that South Korea–U.S. relations will exercise negative influences while North Korea–China relations will exercise positive influences (see Table 6). This would indicate that the Chinese regard alliances involving the United States and Japan as threats to Chinese security that exert negative influences on the Chinese national interest.

<Table 6> What influence do you believe the following relations have on China?

	Very affirm- ative	Some- what affirm- ative	No influ- ence	Some- what nega- tive	Very nega- tive	Don't know/ No re- sponse		
Korea–U.S. relations	China	11	1.9	18.4	22.4	46.2	7.9	3.2
U.S.–Japan relations	China	11	1.5	9.9	12.0	50.2	24.0	2.4
North Korea– China relations	China	11	11.2	52.1	23.7	8.8	1.7	2.4

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

Additionally, the Chinese people pointed to the stationing of United States troops in South Korea (73.7 percent), the United States' unilateralism (74.3 percent), Japan's militarization (77.7 percent) and a military clash between South and North Korea(68.4 percent) as potential factors that could threaten Chinese security and national interests ten years in the future (see Table 7).

<Table 7> The following factors may threaten the national interests of China over the next ten years. What is your opinion about each of them?

,,						
			Very threatening	Somewhat Threaten- ing	No threat	Don't know/ No response
U.S. forces in Asia	China	11	22.3	51.4	24.4	1.9
Unilateralism of the U.S.	China	11	22.3	52.0	19.9	5.8
Military expansion of Japan	China	11	32.4	45.3	20.6	1.7
Military conflict be- tween South and North Korea	China	11	14.1	54.3	30.1	1.5

(ARI and EAI, 2011)



Considering the above-mentioned survey results together, during these early years of the G2 era, the Chinese regard diplomatic alliances with the United States or Japan as factors that potentially threaten Chinese security and exert negative influences on the Chinese national interest. In addition, the Chinese regard a military clash between South and North Korea, which might well be caused by direct conflict between the South Korea–U.S. alliance and the North Korea–China alliance, as a potential threat to their nation's security. Accordingly, from the Chinese point of view, in the G2 era, which pits China against the United States in East Asia, the South Korea–U.S. alliance may be regarded as a major destabilizing factor that breeds strategic mistrust between South Korea and China.

(2) The Recognition of Korean on Security Issues

To analyze factors that the Korean participants regard as emerging strategic threats in the G2 era in East Asia, survey results were analyzed for the following four questions.

First, according to the survey data, Koreans increasingly regard Japan (46.2 percent in 2011, 46.3 percent in 2006, 18.6 percent in 2011) as the nation that will exert the most negative influence on the security of Korea, followed by China (43.4 percent in 2011, 23.5 percent in 2006, 16.5 percent in 2004), Russia (23.8 percent in 2011, 22.4 percent in 2006, 16.5 percent in 2004), and the United States (9.7 percent in 2011, 15.6 percent in 2006, 12.3 percent in 2004). Koreans regard China as the most serious threat to the security of Korea after Japan, towards which the national sentiment has deteriorated because of experiences under colonial rule, distortion of history and issues involving 'comfort women'. Few Koreans (under 10 percent in 2011) cite the United States as a threat to national interests and security. Moreover, the negative perception toward China has been increasing since 2004, which shows a general strengthening of this attitude in tandem with China's emergence as a G2 nation (see Table 8).



<Table 8> What influence do you believe the following countries have on Korea's security?

		Very af- firmative	Some- what af- firmative	No influ- ence	Some- what neg- ative	Very neg- ative	Don't know/No response
	11	20.5	62.3	7.4	8.8	0.9	0.1
U.S.	06*	20.4	56.8	6.7	11.5	4.1	0.5
	04**3	41.8	36.3	9.6	8.8	3.5	0.0
	11	1.0	21.0	31.6	35.2	11.0	0.2
Japan	06*	2.8	22.6	27.5	31.0	15.3	0.8
	04**	13.7	43.9	23.8	15.6	3.0	0.0
	11	0.9	23.5	51.0	23.2	0.6	0.8
Russia	06*	2.9	27.1	46.3	19.4	3.0	1.2
	04**	9.9	40.9	32.7	15.5	1.0	0.0
	11	2.0	32.6	21.9	38.4	5.0	0.2
China	06*	4.3	40.6	29.3	22.5	3.0	0.4
	04**	22.5	48.4	12.2	14.9	2.0	0.0

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

Such negative attitudes towards China on the part of Koreans can also be seen in Table 9. The majority of Koreans, 70.5 percent, replied that they would feel repulsion if China became an Asian leader, showing their unfavorable attitude towards China's rise as a leading nation in Asia.

<Table 9> How do you feel about the possibility that China will become a leader in Asia?

		Vary good	Somewhat Somewhat		Very bad	Don't know/	
		Very good	good	bad	very bad	No response	
Korea	11	1.6	27.5	53.6	16.9	0.4	

(ARI and EAI, 2011).

The Korean respondents perceived the following factors as threatening their national interest within ten years: the stationing of United States troops in South Korea (62.2 per-

^{*}A 2006 Chicago Council on Global Affairs (CCGA)-EAI Joint Survey. 17 Countries' Recognition on Foreign Countries (EAI and CCGA, 2006).

^{**}Joint survey of CCFR (Chicago Council of Foreign Relations: the former organization of CCGA), EAI, Centro de Investigationy Docencia Economicas: CIDE in 2004, Survey on Recognition of Foreign Relations of the Three Countries, U.S., Korea and Mexico(CCFR, EAI and CIDE, 2004).



cent in 2011, 57 percent in 2008, 63.5 percent in 2006); United States unilateralism (85.7 percent in 2011, 85.5 percent in 2008, 87 percent in 2006, 93.7 percent in 2004); China's development (93.2 percent in 2011, 92.5 percent in 2008, 91.6 percent in 2006, 90.8 percent in 2004);the North Korean nuclear arsenal (97.4 percent in 2011, 89.2 percent in 2008, 90.5 percent in 2006, 94.2 percent in 2004);a large-scale immigration of refugees from North Korea (84.3 percent in 2011, 82.1 percent in 2008, 82.2 percent in 2006); instability and conflict on the Korean Peninsula (93.6 percent in 2011, 88.1 percent in 2008, 74.4 percent in 2004);territorial disputes between China and nations in the vicinity (90.3 percent); historical conflicts between Korea and China including the Chinese Northeast Project (89.5 percent);increasing dependence on China by the Korean economy (92.2 percent); and China's military buildup (94.3 percent) (see Table 10). The Korean respondents identified all the survey items as threatening the security of South Korea. It could therefore be said that the Koreans generally regard the Asian policies of both China and the United States, the North Korean nuclear problem and instability and conflict on the Korean Peninsula as factors threatening their national interest. In particular, threatening factors connected with China account for a larger portion (over 90 percent) in almost all survey items. This suggests that the Koreans are feeling strongly threatened by an emerging China, by the instability caused by the strategic rivalry between China and the United States in Asia, as well as by the North Korean regime and its nuclear weapons capacities.

<Table 10> The following are possibilities that may threaten the national interests of Korea over the next ten years. What is your opinion about each of them?

		Very threat- ening	Some what threatening	No threat	Don't know/ No re- sponse
	11	12.1	50.1	37.5	0.4
U.S. forces in Asia	08*	8.3	48.7	41.9	1.1
	06**	11.7	51.8	34.7	1.7
	11	24.0	61.7	14.1	0.2
11	08*	26.2	59.3	13.1	1.3
Unilateralism of the U.S.	06**	31.8	55.2	12.3	0.8
	04***	50.3	43.4	6.3	0.0
Donalassant of China	11	33.4	59.8	6.7	0.1
Development of China	08*	43.6	48.9	6.5	0.9



-					,
	06**	49.2	42.4	7.9	0.6
	04***	46.3	44.5	9.2	0.0
	11	74.9	22.5	2.6	0.0
N. d. o. o. o. o. C.N. d. IZ.	08*	44.7	44.5	10.2	0.7
Nuclear weapons of North Korea	06**	50.1	40.4	9.2	0.3
	04***	58.5	35.7	5.8	0.0
Lance of the contraction of the contraction of	11	28.7	55.6	15.7	0.0
Large-scale immigration of refugees from	08*	24.1	58.0	16.7	1.2
North Korea	06**	29.6	52.6	16.9	0.9
	11	43.1	50.5	6.4	0.1
Instability and conflict in the Korean Pen-	08*	24.1	64.0	11.3	0.7
insula	04***	16.3	58.1	25.6	0.0
Territorial conflicts between China and neighboring countries	11	30.2	60.1	9.5	0.1
Historic conflicts between China and Korea, such as China's Northeast Project	11	30.4	59.1	10.3	0.2
Expansion of Korea's economic dependence upon China	11	32.3	59.9	7.5	0.2
Military reinforcement of China	11	42.7	51.6	5.5	0.1

(ARI and EAI, 2011) **(CCGA and EAI, 2008) ***(CCGA and EAI,2006) ****(CCFR, EAI and CIDE,2004)

The Koreans therefore believe that the rise of China, its rivalry with the United States and the problems associated with North Korea are significantly menacing the security of their nation. Therefore, distrust of the Koreans toward China is likely to only be further strengthened in times when China acts to solidify its relations with North Korea. Table 11 illustrates this Korean attitude. In Table 11, 68.1 percent of the Koreans surveyed replied that strengthening North Korea–China relations would exert negative influence on the security of Korea. This directly contrasts the findings presented in Table 6, in which the Chinese evaluate North Korea–China relations very positively.



<Table 11> What influence do you believe the reinforcement of the relationship between North Korea and China will have on South Korea's security?

		Very af- firmative	Some- what af- firmative	No influ- ence	Some- what neg- ative	Very neg- ative	Don't know/No response
Korea	11	1.9	18.0	11.7	48.0	20.1	0.2

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

As mentioned above, the Koreans express a certain wariness towards the emergence of China, China's strategic competition with the United States in Asia and North Korean problems including nuclear issues. They feel that if China strengthens its ties with North Korea against South Korea and the South Korea–U.S. alliance, the security of Korea could fall into crisis. Accordingly, the Chinese policy toward South and North Korea, North Korea–China relations (from the viewpoint of South Korea) and South Korea's policies and alliance with United States (from the viewpoint of China) may all be regarded as major factors that work to strengthen distrust between South Korea and China.

IV. The Coming of the G2 Era and Aggrandizement of Strategic Discredit between Korea and China

What influence is the emergence of the G2 era exerting on Korea-China relations? Is the new arrangement fueling the strategic and cooperative relationship between the two nations, or is it calling the soundness of the countries' bilateral relations into question? To investigate these matters, two variables that might affect Korea-China relations were studied to determine how they are reflected in Korea-China relations: the Chinese policy toward North and South Korea and North Korea-China relations and the Korean policy toward the United States and the Korea-U.S. alliance.

With the emergence of the G2 era in East Asia, competition between China and the U.S. in the area is intensifying. The competition between the two powers became a fully-fledged rivalry since the United States began to return to East Asia in 2010 (Clinton 2011, 57-63). Until then, China had expressed its determination to become the strongest nation in the world through a 'soft' rise to power, without resorting to war. China has been push-



ing ahead with a relatively passive foreign policy, placing its focus on promoting local economic development as a socialist developing country, while simultaneously exerting efforts to secure the conditions needed to become a strong nation (Dai 2010). However, tension-ridden relations between China and the United States have developed since the U.S. began to return to East Asia in 2010, pressing China from all ranges of fields (*Chosun Ilbo* November/19/2011; *Jungang Ilbo* January/8/2012). One Chinese scholar has pointed out that the American reappearance in Asia will heighten tensions in the Asia and Pacific regions, as China first wants to be a strong nation in that area before it becomes a global power (Lin 2011).

Based on Chinese scholars' views on the return of the United States to East Asia, China is expected to respond assertively (Chen 2012; Wang 2011; Wu 2011). Therefore, the direction of China–U.S. relations will exercise important influences not only in the East Asian context, but also on the future of the Korean Peninsula. An acceleration of the contest for hegemony between the China and the United States will make the strategic importance of the Korean Peninsula and the Korea-U.S. alliance even more strategically important from the perspective of the United States. For China also, the Korean Peninsula is emerging as a core interest, along with the Formosa Strait and the South China Sea. This means that the strategic importance of North Korea is correspondingly expanding, making the unification and security architecture of the Korean Peninsula a key strategic issue. In this situation, China may strengthen its concerns that Korea will join the United States to keep check on China and Korea may, in turn, have greater suspicions that China will consolidate relations with North Korea to secure its strategic buffer zone and will object to the unification of the Korean Peninsula out of a preference to preserve the status quo.

What perceptions do the people of the two nations have on these issues? This study utilizes survey results detailing Koreans' perceptions of what Chinese people think about the unification and North Korean issues, regarded by the Korean people as central national security issues. The data analysis attempted to determine the Korean people's perception about China, as one of the G2 nations, seeking to reflect its strategic interests in its policy on the Korean Peninsula. The concrete survey items include: Chinese stance on Korean unification; the Chinese perception of possible Chinese intervention during a North Korean regime crisis; Chinese attitudes toward military conflicts between North Korea and South Korea; and others.

First, a high percentage of Korean survey respondents perceive that China will object to the unification of Korea. Consulting Table 12 below, the ratio of Koreans who believe that the United States will support Korean unification (44.6 percent in 2011, 76.6 percent in 2004) is higher than the percentage of respondents who believe China will support Ko-



rean unification (15.45 percent in 2011, 72.7 percent in 2004). In addition, it was revealed that Koreans do not tend to concur with the Chinese survey respondents views on the subject of the unification of the Korean Peninsula. Table 13 shows that in 2011, 36.7 percent of the Chinese responded that they were willing to see the unification of Korea, while only 10.9 percent replied that they objected to it. In contrast, 15.4 percent of the Koreans surveyed replied that they believe China supports the Korean unification and 59.1 percent of the Koreans replied that they see China as wholly against Korean unification. This shows that the Koreans maintain the perception that China, in its competitive relations with the United States, prefers the status quo on the Korean Peninsula and objects to its unification out of concern for China's strategic interests.

<Table 12> What position do you believe the following countries have about the unification of the Korean Peninsula?

			Positive- ly sup- ports	Some- what supports	Doesn't support or op- pose	Some- what opposes	Positive- ly op- poses	Don't know/N o re- sponse
		11	5.8	38.8	25.1	21.7	8.3	0.2
Korea	U.S.	04*	37.0	39.6	12.8	7.6	3.0	0.0
	China	11	23.5	49.2	12.5	14.0	0.8	0.0
		04*	23.5	49.2	12.5	14.0	0.8	0.0

(ARI and EAI, 2011) *(CCFR, EAI and CIDE, 2004)

<Table 13> What is your opinion on the unification of the Korean Peninsula?

		Positively support	Some- what sup- port	Don't support or oppose	Some- what op- pose	Positively oppose	Don't know/No response
China	11	18.0	18.7	50.5	4.9	6.0	1.9

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

Such a perceptual gap between Korean and Chinese respondents is also seen in their views on Chinese intervention in the case of a possible North Korean regime crisis. According to Table 14, 12.1 percent of the surveyed Koreans replied with affirmative feelings toward the idea of a Chinese intervention, while 64.3 percent expressed negative attitudes. In contrast, the Chinese showed different views. Of those surveyed, 55.9 percent replied that



they were in favor of a Chinese intervention in North Korea, while only 8.9 percent reported a negative response.

<Table 14> What is your opinion on the intervention of the United States and China in the event that the North Korean regime suffers a serious crisis?

			Very affirma- tive	Some- what affirma- tive	Not af- firmativ e or neg- ative	Some- what negative	Very negative	Don't know/ No re- sponse
17	China	11	1.7	10.4	22.9	38.5	25.8	0.7
Korea	U.S.	11	5.5.	33.3	29.4	21.2	10.3	0.3
Ch:	China	11	21.4	34.5	33.5	5.1	3.8	1.7
China	U.S.	11	3.5	9.1	23.3	28.6	33.5	1.9

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

A total of 69.2 percent Koreans replied that, if a military clash were to erupt between South and North Korea, they were of the opinion that China would give aid to North Korea; only 4.2 percent replied that China would support South Korea. Such results, if representative, confirm that the majority of Koreans believe that China will support North Korea out of their own national interest. The Korean perceptions widely diverged from the views expressed in the Chinese subjects' surveys. In fact, 2.0 percent of the Chinese respondents replied that China would support South Korea and 29.4 percent replied that China would support North Korea. The considerable gap (39.8 percent) between the ratios of the people in the two nations who replied that China would choose to support North Korea shows that the Koreans have strong doubts that the Chinese, regardless of their expressed views, will in reality support North Korea(refer to Table 15).

<Table 15> How do you believe China would act in the event that South and North Korea clash militarily?

		China will sup- port South Ko- rea	China will stay neutral	China will sup- port North Ko- rea	Don't know/ No response				
Korea	11	4.2	25.8	69.2	0.8				
China	11	2.0	66.4	29.4	2.1				

(ARI and EAI, 2011)



As corroborated in Table 12, the negative attitudes held by the Koreans toward the Chinese support of North Korea stems from their perception that strengthening North Korea-China relations will have a negative influence on South Korean security. Table 11 shows that 19.9 percent of the Koreans surveyed replied that strengthening North Korea-China relations would have a positive effect on the security of South Korea, while 68.1 percent replied that it would have a negative effect.

Taking into consideration all these findings, the Koreans believe that China, competing with the United States in East Asia, opposes the unification of the Korean Peninsula for the benefit of their national interests and will try to strengthen its relations with North Korea and support the status quo by maintaining the North Korean regime.

Therefore, what perceptions do the Chinese people have toward South Korea and its relationship with the United States? To study this, the Chinese attitudes towards the South Korea–U.S. alliance and the presence of U.S. forces in South Korea were analyzed. The survey results are derived from detailed questions, such as: "Which country do you think is more closely related to Korea: the United States or China?"; "How do you believe Korea should act in the case of a serious conflict between the United States and China?"; and "Do you believe the United States would support South Korea militarily in the event that North Korea was to invade South Korea?"

First, the Chinese believe that South Korea is far closer to the United States than to China. As indicated in Table 16, the majority of the Chinese surveyed (61.4 percent in 2011, 63.6 percent in 2010) think that Korea is nearer to the United States than to China. The ratio measured in 2011 was higher than in 2010, when tensions arising from the sinking of the Chonan warship deepened between South Korea and China.

<Table 16> Which country do you think is more closely related to Korea: the United States or China?

		U.S.	China	Almost the same	Don't know/ No response
China	11	61.4	26.1	9.8	2.6
	10*	63.6	20.8	14.3	1.4

(ARI and EAI, 2011) *(Seoul: EAI and NRCS, 2010)

The perception of the Chinese does not seem to fundamentally differ to the perception of the Koreans themselves. Overall, 35.5 percent of the South Koreans surveyed replied that South Korea should support the United States in the event of China–U.S. conflict, while only 1.7 percent replied that South Korea should support China (see Table 17). Nonetheless, the Chinese have a stronger belief that South Korea is closer to the United



States than South Koreans believe, which shows the deep mistrust of the Chinese toward South Korea-U.S. relations. In Table 17, 35.5 percent of Koreans responded that South Korea should support the United States in the case of a U.S–China conflict, while only 62.1 percent said that South Korea should remain neutral.

<Table 17> How do you believe Korea should act in the case of a serious conflict between the United States and China?

		Korea should support the United States	Korea should stay neutral	Korea should support China	Don't know/ No response
Korea	11	35.5	62.1	1.7	0.7

(ARI and EAI, 2011)

The Koreans' strong confidence in the United States can also be confirmed in the survey responses showing the belief that the United States would support South Korea militarily in the event of a military clash between South Korea and North Korea. In Table 18, 92.8 percent (89.3 percent in 2004) of the South Koreans believe that if North Korea were to invade South Korea, the United States would militarily support their cause. These responses are major grounds for the Chinese belief that South Korea is strategically nearer to the United States.

<Table 18> Do you believe the United States would support South Korea militarily in the event that North Korea was to invade South Korea?

		Yes	No	Don't know/ No response
17	11	92.8	6.8	0.4
Korea	04*	89.3	10.7	0.0

(ARI and EAI, 2011) *(CCFR, EAI and CIDE, 2004)

Therefore, the Chinese believe that United States–Japan relations (74.2 percent) and South Korea–U.S. relations (54.1 percent) exert negative influences on China's security, while 63.3 percent believe that North Korea–China relations have positive influences (refer to Table 6). The Chinese also have negative perceptions towards a United States intervention should the North Korean regime experience a time of crisis; however, the respondents also show a largely affirmative view towards Chinese intervention in this same instance. As illustrated in Table 14, 12.6 percent of the Chinese replied that a United States intervention would be seen affirmatively while 62.1 percent replied negatively. In



contrast, the Koreans were less negative towards the idea of a U.S. intervention in comparison with the Chinese, with 38.8 percent replying affirmatively and 31.5 percent negatively.

To summarize the above results, the Chinese largely believe that the South Korea alliance with the United States could harm Chinese national interests, while Koreans fear that China regards North Korea as a strategic buffer zone, necessary for competing with the United States and that they will try to strengthen their bilateral relations with North Korea and object to the unification of the Korean Peninsula. Such sources of distrust are assumed to result from the growing strategic importance of South and North Korea due to the fierce competition between the United States and China in East Asia.

V. Conclusion

It is generally accepted that, in a democratic country, public opinion plays a role as a determinant of the course of foreign policy. In China, it was once deemed that the effects of public opinion on Chinese foreign policy were negligible. Now, however, as the country's civic society develops along with its economic growth, the public's say on a wide range of policy issues has become a major factor to consider in the process of government policy making. In particular, the general public, who have expressed their pride at the idea of an emerging China on the international scene, are expressing keen interest and presenting their opinions on various diplomatic issues. The formation and expression of such public opinions has wielded greater power and gained momentum due to the development of the Internet. Now, political leaders are starting to regard public opinion as an important factor that should not be neglected when crafting foreign policies. Therefore, it is very meaningful to analyze the influence of the emergence of the G2 era and its dynamics on South Korea–China relations by assessing public opinions surveys conducted in the two nations.

With the ongoing emergence of the G2 era, the strategic competition between China and the United States has intensified. According to the present analysis of the survey results, the Koreans feel a serious threat posed by the Chinese rise to power and the subsequent strategic competition with the United States in East Asia and the North Korean nuclear weapons issue. They see a possibility that China will oppose South Korea and the South Korea-U.S. alliance by strengthening its ties with North Korea, thereby posing a threat to the security of South Korea. On the other hand, the Chinese perceive the U.S. policy to-



wards Asia and the South Korea-U.S. alliance as a potential threat to the security of China.

The Koreans believe that China is pushing for a policy advocating the status quo on the Korean Peninsula, opposing the unification of Korea and strengthening relations with North Korea in order to exploit the Korean Peninsula as a strategic zone against the United States. On the other hand, China does not trust South Korea in that it sees its neighbor as strengthening relations with the United States in an effort to check the rise of China in East Asia. Such mistrust is the typical scenario considering the greater strategic importance of South and North Korea in the midst of the intensified China–United States rivalry in East Asia.

Such bilateral strategic mistrust is being intensified as China is rapidly emerging and the United States has started to check China in line with its return to East Asia following the global financial crisis in 2008. As a result, the South Korea–China relationship is somewhat deadlocked thanks to these external issues, though the bilateral relationship between the countries themselves has been upgraded to the level of strategic partnership since 2008. This situation has wholly prevented the strategic partnership from materializing concrete results.

To affect concrete results from the strategic partnership between South Korea and China, efforts should be made to diminish the negative perceptions concerning the relationship. Until now, the bilateral relations have been brisk only in the sense of economic and cultural exchanges, while concrete development was not facilitated in the field of political and military security. Therefore, in order to expand the scope of actual exchanges and cooperation in the area of political security, efforts that diminish bilateral distrust on strategic issues are urgently needed.

To strengthen strategic mutual trust, China should first discard the perception that the strengthening of the South Korea–U.S. alliance is aimed at checking China's emergence; at the same time, South Korea should make efforts to address public misconceptions and mistrust of China.

Second, China should transform its perception to see that Korean unification will not harm Chinese strategic interests, but rather contribute to promoting peace and prosperity in East Asia. South Korea, on its part, should make efforts to give confidence to China that a unified Korea will not be a threat to China.

Third, Korea should realize that the Chinese rise to power need not pose a threat to Korea, but rather provide the country with opportunities. It should see China's emergence to the G2 in East Asia as a reality and thus encourage China to play the role of a regional power to secure stability and peace on the Korean Peninsula and in East Asia.

Beyond this, South Korea should make a number of efforts to facilitate the progress of



South Korea-China relations. First, it should attempt to manage China-United States relations on a stable and permanent basis. Korea should thus be keen to avoid a situation in which the Korean Peninsula triggers a diplomatic clash or open conflict between the United States and China and in which South Korea is forced to choose one side over the other. Korea should make efforts to form a desirable environment for its own security and unification by preventing the Korean issue from becoming the origins of a final showdown between China and the United States, promoting dialogue and cooperation between South and North Korea and enhancing its diplomatic independence.

Next, South Korea–China relations and South Korea–U.S. relations should be developed so that they do not follow the dictates of zero-sum games. To achieve this purpose, while keeping the South Korea–U.S. alliance intact, efforts should be made to facilitate actual points of cooperation for the strategic partnership between South Korea and China.

Third, when strengthening cooperative relations between North and South Korea, China and the United States, the specific influences of such activities on the Korean Peninsula need to be compensated for and duly considered. While China and the United States remain pitted against each other and South and North Korea are in conflict, China is likely to maintain a strong alliance with North Korea in an effort to pit this alliance against the South Korea–U.S. alliance. Such a situation is quite possibly the worst scenario if peace is desired in Northeast Asia and would result in deepening North and South Koreas' dependence on China and the United States.

Fourth, a multilateral consultation forum in the form of a tripartite system should be activated in East Asia to serve as a catalyst for securing Asian security and cooperation. Long-term efforts should thus be made to establish a multilateral consulting body for securing peace and prosperity in East Asia.

Acknowledgement

The author appreciates the insightful comments from Chaesung Chun on the first draft of this working paper.



Endnotes

¹ Survey results of Korea scholars indicate that 67 percent of Korean scholars considered that the current international order is a multi-polar system (39.4 percent), G2 system (26.6 percent) or that China leads (1 percent) and only 32 percent said the United States leads the international order. On the other hand, 55.6 percent of the general public marked these items, revealing that scholars have a greater preference for the multi-polar system compared to the public.

² The question in 2010 survey was "equivalent to the United States".

³ In the case of the 2004 survey, the questionnaires had five response levels: from "Very useful" to "Very threatening."

 $^{^4}$ In the case of the survey in 2004, the question naires had five levels, ranging from "Very useful" to "Very threatening."



References

- Bergsten, Fred C. 2008. "A Partnership of Equals: How Washington Should Respond to China's Economic Challenge." *Foreign Affairs*. July/August.
- Bingguo, Dai. 2010. "Peaceful way to development must be taken [Jianchi zhou heping fazhan daolu]." http://www.fmprc.gov.cn/chn/gxh/tyb/zyxw/t774662.htm(Announced on December 6, 2010; Research date: December 8, 2010).
- Brzezinski, Zbigniew. 2009. "The Group of Two that could change the world." *The Financial Times*. January 13.
- Chen, Xiangyang 2012. "China's strategic thinking about new changes of its environment [Zhongguo zhoubian huanjing xin bianhuade zhanlue sikao]." *Asia & Africa Review* [Yafeizongheng] 1, http://www.cicir.ac.cn/chinese/newsView.aspx?nid=3551 (Research Date: February 5, 2012).
- Chung, Jae-ho. 2011. *China's rise and Korea-China Relations*. Seoul: Seoul National University Press.
- Chung, Jin-young. 2000. "The Current State of Theoretical Debates on International Relations: Toward a New Synthesis?" *Korean Journal of International Relations* 40, 3.
- Clinton, Hillary. 2011. "America's Pacific Century." Foreign Policy November.
- Ferguson, Niall. 2007. "Not two countries, but one: Chimerica." *Sunday Telegraph* March 4.
- Ferguson. 2012. "Korea, wishing balance of the U.S. and China." ...Friedman, 2012. "Korea-U.S. Alliance needs to be reinforced," *Chosu Ilbo* February 13.
- Han, Zhong. 2010. "Korea–China Experts' Joint Research Committee [zhuanjia lianhe yanjiuweiyuanhui baogao]."; "Korea–China Strategic Cooperation Partnership for Mutual Development in Future [Mianxiang weilai. gongtong fazhan shenhua ZhongHan zhanlue hezuo huoban guanxi]." March 23.
- Ikenberry, John G. 2008. "The Rise of China and the Future of the West." *Foreign Affairs* January/February: 87, 1.
- Ji, Bin. "Wen Jiabao: Asian people's rejection of Japan's nomination as a permanent member of UN Security Council should inspire Japan's repentance [Wen, Jiabao: Yazhou renmin fanri ruchang shiwei yingyin chao riben fanxing]. "http://news.sina.com.cn/e/2005-04-12/16165629838s.shtml,(Research date: December 5, 2010).



- Jisi, Wang. 2011. "New Trend in China–U.S. Relations and Its Effect on Security in East Asia [ZhongMei guanxi xin qushi jiqi dui dongbeiya anquande yingxiang]." *Zhongguo waijia*. September.
- Kim, Sung-han. 2011. "Asia Pacific Strategy of the Administration of the United States: Returning to Asia?" *Journal of International Politics*. Seoul: Ilmin International Relations. Korea University. Fall 16, 2
- Kissinger, Henry A. 2009. "The chance for a new world order." *The International Herald Tribune*. January 12.
- Korean Journal of International Relations. "New Synthesis?" 2000. 40, 3.
- Lai, Yulin. 2011. "Nationalism Movement on Internet and Civil Diplomacy of China: with focus on signature gathering movement to oppose nomination of Japan as a permanent member of UN Security Council in 2005 [Wangluo minzuzhuyi yu Zhongguode gongmin waijiao-yi2005nian "fanri ruchang jianming" weili]." *International Politics Quarterly* [Guojizhengzhiyanjiu] 3.
- Lampton, David M. 1978-2000. "China's Foreign and National Security Policy-Making Process: Is It Changing, and Does It Matter?" David M. Lampton ed., 2001. *The Making of Chinese Foreign and Security Policy in the Era of Reform.* Stanford Univ. Press.
- Lee, Jung-nam. 2009. "Understanding of China's Strategic Partnership Diplomacy and Korea—China Relations." *Peace Research* Autumn 17, 2.
- Lin, Limin. 2011. "An Analysis of International Trend 2011 [2011nian guoji zhanlue xingshi pingxi]." *Global Army* [Huanqiujunshi] December 21: 12. http://www.cicir.ac.cn/chinese/newsView.aspx?nid=3432 (Research Date: February 5, 2012).
- Liu, Weidong. 2009. "G2. Chimerica and Practical Crisis of China–U.S. Relations [G2. "ZhongMei" yu ZhongMei guanxide xianshi dingwei]." *Hongqiwengao* 13.
- Nye Jr., Joseph S. 2011. "China's Rise Doesn't Mean War." Foreign Policy. January/February: 184.
- Sobel, Richard. 2001. *The Impact of Public Opinionon U.S. Foreign Policy since Vitamin*. Oxford University Press.
- Wang, Wen. 2010. "Rising of Public Opinions in China and Future of American Studies [Zhongguo minyide jueqi yu Meiguo yanjiude weilai]" *Contemporary International Relations* 7.
- Wendt, A. 1999. The Social Theory of International Politics. Cambridge University Press.



- Wu, Xinbo. 2009. "New Internationalization of China–U.S. Relations [ZhongMei guanxide chongxin guojihua]." *World Economy and Politics* [Shijie jingji yu zhengzhi] 8.
- Yuan, Feng. 2009. "Changes in International Order and Strategic Choice of China [Guoji tixi bianqian yu Zhongguode zhanlue xuanze]." Contemporary International Relations [Xiandai guoji guanxi] 11.
- Zhang, Sannan and Weidong Liu. 2009. "Discussion on Strategic Positioning of China-U.S. Relations in Contemporary International Community [Shilun dangqian ZhongMei guanxide zhanlue dingwei]." *Journal of Ideological & Theoretical Education* [Sixiang lilun jiaoyu daokan] 6.
- Zhu, Rui. 2008. "Public Opinion and Mass Media in the Process of Making Decisions of Foreign Policies [Waijiao juecezhongde gongzhong yulun yu meiti yinsu]." *Contemporary World* [Dangdaishijie] 8.



Author's Biography

Jung-nam Lee Korea University

Jung-nam Lee is associate professor of Asiatic Research Institute at Korea University, Seoul, Korea. Her research interests include contemporary Chinese politics, and focus especially on political transformation and China's foreign policy. Her published works include: "Outlook on Chinese Foreign Policy in the Coming Era of Xi Jinping from China's Perspective," in *The Korean Journal of Defense Analysis* 24, 3 (September, 2012); *Democracy and China (ed.)* Seoul: Asiatic Research Institute Press, 2012; "China's idea of East Asian Order: A Comparative Study of 'Harmonious World Theory' and Sino-centric Tributary System," in *The Korean Journal of International Relations* 50, 3 (Spring 2010), "A Critical Analysis of the theory of Chinese-Style Democracy" *International Area Review* 13, 2 (Spring 2010), "China's soft power in East Asia: an estimation based on the outcome of surveys of six countries" in *The Korean Journal of Defense Analysis* 21, 2 (June 2009), and a number of other articles in academic journals.

Knowledge-Net for a Better World

- This article is the result of the East Asia Institute's research activity of the Asia Security Initiative Research Center.
- Any citation or quotation is prohibited without prior permission of the author.
- The contents of this article do not necessarily reflect the views of the EAI.
- The East Asia Institute, an Asia Security Initiative core institution, acknowledges the MacArthur Foundation for its generous grand and continued support.

